

VOTING RECORD

1980 California Legislature

WHAT IS THE LEAGUE?

The CALIFORNIA LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS is the campaign arm of the conservation movement in California. Its Board of Directors is drawn from all of the major environmental organizations in the state. It is nonpartisan, believing that concern for the environment transcends political parties. CLCV cooperates with both the national League of Conservation voters and a dynamic, expanding network of local LCV's to achieve its goals. CLCV is a registered campaign committee, and is the only conservation organization in California working full time on political campaigns.

OUR GOALS:

1. To elect to public office good people who will stand up and fight for the environment; to defeat those who consistently oppose environmental needs.
2. To inform the public of the performance and records of all public officials so that those who have been elected as conservationists continue to be responsive to our concerns.

OUR TOOLS:

VOTING CHART: This voting chart records the performance of the state legislature on conservation issues. The legislature is much more responsive to public concerns like the environment when it knows that an *informed* citizenry is watching its performance.

CAMPAIGN INFORMATION AND ENDORSEMENTS: CLCV endorses outstanding candidates for public office all over California, and publishes profiles of key candidates and races.

VOLUNTEERS: CLCV mobilizes grass-roots conservationists, trains them in campaign techniques, encourages them to work on behalf of good candidates in critical races, and to raise conservation issues in campaigns in their districts.

DONATIONS: The League solicits campaign contributions from conservationists all over the state, and uses them to help those good candidates in need of such funds. Even though these donations are small compared with the contributions of typical interests, through careful selection of races CLCV is able to make *the* difference in a number of campaigns. This is a significant accomplishment when one considers that business, for example, gave politicians over \$11 million in 1978, and conservationists, through the League, gave only \$20,000.

MEDIA INFORMATION

CLCV provides the public and the media with detailed progress reports on the legislature; in this way the public can compare the promises and statements of public officials with their actual performance. Public officials who work hard for the environment get credit for their effort; those who do not are held accountable by the voters.

HOW YOU CAN HELP:

You can give money and time. Both are vital in maintaining a powerful conservation presence in the political scene. Please use the coupon on the back page.


WHAT CONTRIBUTORS RECEIVE:

You become a leader of the conservation community in California, furthering CLCV's goal of making that community more effective politically. You are notified of Board decisions about campaigns and receive the voting chart. You help build an organization structured to achieve specific conservation goals, a legacy for the future and an organization that helps preserve the greatest legacy for the future of them all: the environment. You receive CLCV's quarterly publication, "Eco-Politics," which completely covers environmental politics in California.

About This Chart

This chart evaluates the 1980 session of the legislature. It lists votes cast, both in committee and on the floor, on key bills in land use, coastal protection, energy, pollution, water, parks and toxic substances. The scores for each legislator represent the percent of correct votes, excluding absences, with two exceptions. Absences which contributed to the defeat of a bill supported by conservationists are scored as wrong votes; absences or abstentions on bills opposed by conservationists are scored as correct votes, since they have the same effect as a vote against the bill.

This chart is only part of the story of the legislature; it does not show the important work behind the scenes that can contribute to the success or failure of a bill. Special thanks are due from conservationists to those Committee chairmen and members of the legislative leadership who have used their special influence on behalf of the environment. To supplement this chart, regularly read *Eco-Politics*, the League's quarterly newsletter.



Pollution

AB 2323 (Calvo and Levine) Would beef up enforcement authority and increase fines for air pollution violations. Supported by conservationists; defeated in Senate Government Organization.

AB 2145 (Calvo) Sets up inspection and maintenance program to insure that automobile pollution control systems are properly maintained. Also sets maximum charges for fixing these systems, extends warranties to 75,000 miles, and permits sale of a small number (3%) of vehicles which meet national instead of California emissions standards. Supported by conservationists; defeated in Ways and Means.

AB 300 (Mountjoy) Weakens the Air Resources Board's authority to clean up the air by requiring legislative approval of the state's air pollution plans. Opposed by conservationists; defeated in Assembly Resources Committee.

ACA 16 (McAlister), **ACA 60** (Boatwright), **SCA 4** (Carpenter) Would have violated the separation of powers principle by providing for legislative invalidation of administrative rules, orders, or standards. Opposed by conservationists. **ACA 16, 60** defeated in Senate Rules; **SCA 4** killed in Assembly Constitutional Amendments Committee.

SB 4 (Rains) Would make all beverage bottles returnable for deposit. Supported by conservationists; defeated on Senate floor.

SB 84 (Foran) Would establish an inspection and maintenance program, but would also substantially weaken the state's auto emission standards. Opposed by conservationists; defeated in Assembly Transportation.

SB 873 (Holmdahl) Replaces state smog standards with less stringent federal standards. Opposed by conservationists; defeated in Assembly Transportation.

SB 901 (Holmdahl) Requires greater consideration of economic factors in setting state air pollution standards which are more stringent than federal standards. Opposed by conservationists; killed in Assembly Resources Committee.

SB 902 (Holmdahl) Makes it more difficult for the ARB to set air pollution standards providing an adequate safety margin for public health. Opposed by conservationists. Defeated in Assembly Health Committee.

SB 14404 (Maddy) Would exempt pesticide regulation from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act. Would use regulations that existed prior to 1979. Opposed by conservationists; defeated in Assembly Ways and Means.

SB 1999 (Holmdahl) Would prohibit local air pollution control districts from changing the terms of construction permits for stationary pollution sources for ten years after the permit has been issued. Opposed by conservationists. Died in Assembly Resources.



Land Use and Coastal

AB 2164 (Waters) Calls on the federal government to completely fill New Melones Reservoir in spite of a lack of need for its water. Opposed by conservationists; vetoed by the governor.

AB 2235 (Waters) Would reduce diversions of water from Mono Lake by the city of Los Angeles. Limited by the Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee to a two-year reduction in diversions. Supported by conservationists. Defeated on Assembly floor.


AB 990 (Kapiloff) Appropriates \$32 million from the Bagley Conservation Fund for state park acquisitions. Supported by conservationists; signed by governor.

ACA 90 (Kapiloff) Would set water quality standards to prevent the degradation of the Sacramento River and San Joaquin Delta. The amendment would make it more difficult to transfer North Coast water from wild and scenic rivers to other parts of California. Supported by conservationists; on November ballot.

SB 200 (Ayala) Authorizes the construction of the Peripheral Canal. Opposed by conservationists; signed by governor; petitioned by referendum to ballot.

SB 1361 (Nejedly) Would require any water district receiving water from the Peripheral Canal to adopt a water conservation program and present it to the State Water Resources Control Board, which makes decisions concerning the right to water in California. Supported by conservationists; defeated in Senate Water on referral.

SB 664 (Nielson) Eliminates present legal requirements that reclaimed swamp and overflow lands are subject to the public right to use such wetlands and waterways for navigation, fishing, recreation and open space. Would eliminate public access to and environmental protection of thousands of acres, especially in San Francisco Bay. Opposed by conservationists.



Energy

AB 2030 (Levine) Would provide a 55% credit, up to \$1,500, for conservation measures installed in residential and non-residential buildings. Supported by conservationists; signed by governor.

AB 2036 (Hart) Would provide a 55% credit, up to \$3,000, for installation of solar energy systems. A rebate to low income (\$20,000 or less) people whose credit exceeds their tax liability is included in the bill. Credit for swimming pools is reduced 10% annually. The credit would terminate in 1984. Supported by conservationists; signed by governor.

AB 3046 (Levine) Would require any owner, prior to sale of a building, to install conservation measures prescribed by CEC. In addition, it would require the utilities to inform non-residential customers of conservation measures that are energy saving and appropriate. Supported by conservationists; defeated on Senate floor.

AB 3059 (Levine) Would prohibit the utilities from granting "declining block rates" to high energy consumers. Supported by conservationists; defeated in Senate.

SB 778 (Keene) Abolishes the Energy Commission and creates a State Department of Energy which, together with the PUC, would take over the duties of the present Energy Commission. Opposed by conservationists; defeated in Ways and Means.

SB 1205 (Roberti) Originally provided \$150 million for an Energy Development Authority to fund utility loans for solar installations by homeowners and small businesses, to fund industrial applications of solar energy. Supported by conservationists; defeated on Senate floor.

AB 2973 (Vasconcellos) Creates the \$120 million Energy Resources Fund from tidelands oil revenues to fund alternate energy and natural resources investments. Supported by conservationists; signed by the governor.

Assembly Floor

	AB 2323	AB 2030	AB 2036	AB 2235	AB 3046	AB 3059	ACA 90	ACA 60	ACA 16	SB 200	AB 2973	AB 1185	AB 2164	SB 664	SCORES	
															FLOOR	COMMITTEE
AGNOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	
ALATORRE	A	A	A	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	A	+	+	+	78	
BANE	+	A	A	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	42	
BANNAI	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	31	
BATES	+	A	+	A	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	89
BERGESON	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	29	14
BERMAN	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	93	80
BOATWRIGHT	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	77	54
BOSCO	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	79	25
BROWN, D	A	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	0
BROWN, W	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	A	+	+	A	100	
CALVO	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	90
CHACON	A	A	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	73	80
CHAPPIE	-	+	+	+	+	-	A	-	-	+	+	A	-	-	42	
CLINE	A	A	+	A	A	A	A	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	25	
COSTA	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	64	
DEDEH	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	57	
DUFFY	A	+	+	A	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	31	33
EGELAND	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	82
ELDER	A	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	54	
ELLIS	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	36	27
FELANDO	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	29	
FENTON	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	71	
FILANTE	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	79	38
FRAZEE	A	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	38	
GAGE	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	
GOOGIN	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	A	+	+	92	94
GREENE	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	71	
HALLET	-	+	+	+	-	+	A	-	-	A	+	A	-	-	50	
HANNIGAN	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	-	-	A	+	+	+	+	85	94
HARRIS	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	
HART	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
HAYDEN	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	71	69
HAYES	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	43	
HUGHES	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	79	
IMBRECHT	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	A	+	-	69	67
INGALLS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	57	
IVERS	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	
JOHNSON	+	+	+	A	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	A	-	-	38	
KAPILOFF	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	92	82
KELLEY	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	36	0
KNOX	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	93	90
LANCASTER	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	36	
LEHMAN	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	62	33
LEONARD	-	+	+	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	23	27
LEVINE	+	+	A	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	77	95
LOCKYER	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	79	
MANGERS	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	64	
MCALLISTER	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	57	
MCCARTHY	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	A	100	
MCVITTIE	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	71	
MELLO	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	79	80
MOORE	+	+	+	-	A	+	+	-	-	+	A	+	-	A	67	
MOORHEAD	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	57	
MORI	-	A	A	A	A	+	A	-	-	A	+	+	-	-	40	
MOUNTJOY	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	29	
NAYLOR	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	64	50
NESTANDE	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	-	-	-	A	+	A	-	17	
NOLAN	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	A	-	-	23	
PAPAN	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	69	
PERINO	+	A	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	A	-	-	50	40
PRIOLO	-	+	+	A	A	A	A	-	-	+	-	A	A	A	63	
ROBINSON	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	29	
ROGERS	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	21	8
ROOS	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	64	
ROSENTHAL	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86	83
RYAN	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	50	40
STATHAM	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	A	-	-	31	
STIRLING	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	43	8
TANNER	+	+	A	-	-	+	+	A	A	-	+	+	+	-	64	
THURMAN	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	64	
TORRES	A	A	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	64	
TUCKER	+	A	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	77	
VASCONCELLOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	93	91
VICENCIA	+	A	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	A	A	+	-	73	
WATERS, M.	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	A	92	75
WATERS, N.	-	A	+	+	+	+	A	-	-	-	+	A	-	A	64	
WRAY	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	57	
WYMAN	-	+	+	+	-	-	A	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	31	
YOUNG	A	A	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	42	25

CALIFORNIA LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

124 Spear Street, San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 495-5950



Key

- + = conservation vote
- = anti-conservation vote
- A = abstention

Committee votes are recorded separately from floor votes. Each legislator has received a cumulative score for all votes taken in committee. Legislators with fewer than ten votes on the floor or five votes in committee received no score.



Assembly Committee

ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS											ASSEMBLY GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION		
	AB 2323	AB 2030	AB 2036	AB 3059	AB 2145	AB 3046	SB 1404	SB 778	SB 1361	AB 2164	SB 200	ACA 60	SCA 4
BERMAN	+	A	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
BOATWRIGHT	-	+	+	A	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-
CHACON	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
DUFFY	-	+	A	-	-	-	-	+	-	A	+	+	+
EGELAND	+	+	A	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
ELLIS	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-
GOGGIN	A	A	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
HANNIGAN	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	-	-
HART	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
HAYDEN	+	+	+	+	A	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
IMBRECHT	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	A	+	-	-	-
KNOX	A	+	+	+	A	+	+	A	+	A	+	-	-
LEONARD	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEVINE	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
MELLO	+	+	+	A	A	+	-	-	A	A	+	-	-
NAYLOR	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
RYAN	+	A	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+
STIRLING	-	A	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VASCONCELLOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	-	-	-
WATERS, M.	A	A	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
YOUNG	-	A	+	A	-	A	-	-	+	-	-	-	-

ASSEMBLY TRANSPORTATION		
	AB 2145	SB 84
CALVO	+	+
DEDEH	+	-
ELDER	+	+
FELANDO	-	A
FRAZEE	+	-
INGALLS	+	+
IVERS	-	+
KELLEY	-	-
PAPAN	+	-
TUCKER	+	+
WRAY	+	A
WYMAN	-	-

ASSEMBLY WATER					ASSEMBLY AGRICULTURE		ASSEMBLY HEALTH	
	AB 2235	SB 200	SB 1361	AB 2164		SB 1404		SB 902
BERMAN	+	-	-	+	BOSCO	-	AGNOS	+
BOATWRIGHT	A	+	-	-	CHAPPIE	-	BATES	+
FELANDO	-	-	A	-	COSTA	-	DUFFY	-
FILANTE	+	+	-	A	DUFFY	-	EGELAND	-
KAPILLOFF	+	+	A	+	HALLETT	-	FELANDO	-
KELLEY	-	-	-	-	KELLEY	-	IVERS	-
LEHMAN	+	+	A	-	MCVITTIE	-	MANGERS	+
MELLO	+	+	-	+	MORI	-	MOORHEAD	+
PERINO	+	+	-	-	PERINO	-	NOLAN	-
ROGERS	-	-	-	-	THURMAN	-	ROSENTHAL	+
					WATERS, N.	-	TORRES	-
							TUCKER	+
							WATERS, M.	+

ASSEMBLY RESOURCES										ASSEMBLY JUDICIARY	
	AB 2323	AB 3046	AB 3059	AB 300	SB 901	SB 664	SB 778	SB 1205		ACA 16	ACA 60
BATES	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	BERMAN	A	+
BERGESON	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	A	BROWN, W.	-	-
BOSCO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	FENTON	+	-
BROWN, D.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	HAYDEN	-	-
CALVO	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	HAYDEN	-	-
FILANTE	A	A	A	-	-	-	-	+	IMBRECHT	+	-
GOGGIN	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	INGALLS	-	-
HANNIGAN	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	McALISTER	-	-
KAPILLOFF	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	A	McVITTIE	-	-
LEVINE	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	MOORHEAD	-	-
MELLO	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	NOLAN	-	-
NAYLOR	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	STIRLING	-	-
ROGERS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	TORRES	+	-
ROSENTHAL	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	WATERS, M.	+	-

**BOARD:
OFFICERS**

Bob Girard
President
Menlo Park

Charles Grace
Chairman
Santa Monica

Bill Kortum
Vice President
Petaluma

Jeff Ward
Vice President
San Diego

George Wagner
Treasurer
Malibu

MEMBERS

Sen. Peter Behr
San Rafael

Nicholas B. Clinch
Palo Alto

Sterling Franklin
Los Angeles

Tom Graff
Berkeley

Dorothy Green
Los Angeles

James Hoyt
Santa Monica

Harriet Hunt
Oakland

Joan Jackson
Carlsbad

Joann Leonard
Los Angeles

Lorell Long
Penryn

Joan Lundquist
Homewood

Joanne Miller
Santa Barbara

Darlene Mitcheltree
Playa Del Rey

David Mixner
Los Angeles

Larry Moss
Trinidad

John Phillips
Los Angeles

Gerald Podolak M.D.
Tustin

Bill Press
Inverness

Carroll Pursell
Santa Barbara

William Siri
Richmond

Dwight Steele
Walnut Creek

Paul Swatek
Lafayette

Edgar Wayburn, M.D.
San Francisco

Pat Weinberger
Ojai

John Zierold
Sacramento

STAFF:

Carl Pope
Oakland

David Gardiner
San Francisco

Ruth Galanter
Santa Monica



**CALIFORNIA LEAGUE OF
CONSERVATION VOTERS**

124 SPEAR STREET • SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
TELEPHONE (415) 495-5950

**CALIFORNIA LEAGUE OF
CONSERVATION VOTERS**

124 SPEAR STREET • SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
TELEPHONE (415) 495-5950

YES, I want to be an effective conservation
voter.

Enclosed is my contribution of \$ _____

Friend Supporter Contributor Donor Angel
\$10 \$25 \$50 \$100 \$500

I wish to volunteer in the campaign of good
conservation candidates.

I can help distribute this voting chart. Please
send me _____ copies.

I have information about candidates in my
district.

Name: _____

Address: _____

City and Zip: _____

Occupation: _____
(Required by state law.)

Congressperson: _____

Assemblyperson: _____

*You may take a federal tax credit of 50% for your gift of up to
\$25 per person, \$50 per couple.*

A copy of our report is (or will be) on file with the Federal Elec-
tion Commission in Washington, D.C. This invitation is not to be
construed as a solicitation of registered lobbyists which is pro-
hibited by California law. Donors who do not wish their contri-
bution to count towards their federal election contribution limit
should make their checks out to CLCV—state fund.